



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE  09/935,545 08/24/2001		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8708	
		08/24/2001	Stephan Hartwig	1123.40573X00		
22907	7590	12/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BANNER &			CHANKON	CHANKONG, DOHM		
SUITE 1100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001	2152	2152		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/935,545	HARTWIG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dohm Chankong	2152		

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>31 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
tl p (	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or one application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No 3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) [	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) [	<b>-</b>			er is later. In no
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW O
Extensio	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on v		a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have
oeen file CFR 1.1 above, i earned (	and is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension are 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  E OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date
9	f filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any existence a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b	dension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
	DMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered !	hocauso
(	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, a) They raise new issues that would require further colors.	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)  They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educina or simplifyina	the issues for
(	appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially to	educing or simplifying	1 110 133403 101
(	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
`	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -		
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
	he non-allowable claim(s).		2014	
۲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the proposed amendment(s): a following the provided that the proposed amendment (s):		viii be entered and an	explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 6 and 9-15</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N	4 . 6
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, busecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a ling a	Notice of Appeal Will <u>r</u> Ivit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. 🔲 1 e	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar			
REQU	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ince because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. 🔲	Other:			1
		A.	H	en
			/ 11.1	~

PRIVERY EXAMINER
Part of Paper No. 2

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicant is arguing in substance: (a) Hollstrom reference fails to disclose a standardized interface and connector; and (b)maintains objection to the Rezvani reference that was expressed in the previous remarks filed 4/6/05. In regards to (a), APplicant's remarks fail to distinguish Hollstrom's server module interface from the the claimed "standardized interface and connector". Broadly interpreting the claim language, Applicant is merely claiming a module and device connected through a standard interface and connector. Hollstrom discloses this functionality: a devivce and server module are connected through the interface through a cable (connector). Further, Hollstrom is directed towards providing "standardized" communications to devices [0010]. In regards to (b), Applicant's arguments were addressed by Examiner in previous action filed 6/30/05 and merely reasserts them here. It should be further noted that Rezvani's module (equivalent to server module) receives interfaces to communicate with new devices that are connected to it [0061 where the module downloads new drivers (interfaces) to communicate with the devices]. Thus, the previous rejections are maintained. Applicant's arguments will be addressed in depth upon filing of a continuation or appeal.